UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,348	11/01/2003	Michael O. Madsen	P-11706.00US 9656	
54228 IPLM GROUP	7590 12/26/2006 . P.A.	6	EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 18455			SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
	•	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	12/26/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/698,348	MADSEN, MICHAEI	MADSEN, MICHAEL O.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Brian Szmal	3736	-		

Before the timing of all Appear Biles	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian Szmal	3736	-				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	) X The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	·	. 2 55.517,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / interiament	(1 102 02 1).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\sum \) will not be entered, or b) \(\sum \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-18</u> .			,				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							

Continuation of 13. Other: Kilcoyne et al (6,285,897 B1) discloses an endoscope that is placed within the esophagus (as shown in Figure 6) that utilizes a vacuum source to place a capsule at a location within the esophagus; Higuma et al (6,464,708 B1) discloses in detail how the vacuum source allows the ligature ring (or structure) to be placed at the site. Furthermore, Kilcoyne et al discloses the use of a catheter to constrain or deploy the capsule (Column 7, lines 23-26) while using the endoscope to visually verify the placement of the capsule. Kilcoyne et al also disclose the use of a pressure sensor for determining the internal pressure at a location of the endoscope in Column 5, lines 27-30, however Kilcoyne et al fail to explicitly disclose pressure sensor setup. Silverstein et al disclose a means for measuring the motility of a region and further disclose the use of a manometry lumen for measuring the internal pressure (Column 3, lines 53-55); manometry utilizes a source of gas with a constant pressure to determine the amount of an externally applied pressure. Sugrue et al (5,433,216) disclose a means for measuring an internal pressure and further disclose utilizing a baseline pressure in Column 34, lines 66-68 to compare against the measured internal pressure.